

Evaluation of browsing behaviour and automated subject classification: examples from KnowLib

Koraljka Golub, Knowledge Discovery and Digital Library Research Group http://www.it.lth.se/knowlib/

SFIS, 21 November 2006

KnowLib

Outline



Subject browsing

- Automated subject classification
- Crawling
- Demonstrators

Know_{Lib}

Subject browsing

- seeking for information resources by examining a hierarchical tree of broader and narrower subject classes into which the resources have been classified
- browsing services
 - for academic users
 - e.g. Intute (http://www.intute.ac.uk/), Renardus (http://www.renardus.org),
 - commercial
 - e.g. Yahoo! directory (http://dir.yahoo.com/) Google Directory (http://www.google.com/dirhp)
 - collaborative
 - DMOZ (http://dmoz.org/)
- browsing vs. searching
 - · contradictory claims and research results

Know Lib Structures for subject browsing

- traditional: classification schemes, thesauri, subject heading systems
- from the WWW: ontologies, search-engine directories
- · some better for browsing than others
 - · hierarchical structure
 - · document collection
 - · names of subjects

Know_{Lib}

Renardus

- · http://www.renardus.org
- integrated searching and browsing of ca. 80,000 resources from major European subject gateways
 - · simple and advanced searching
 - browsing through Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)
 - · browsing support features

KnowLib

Research issues

- the balance between browsing, searching and mixed activities
- · the degree of usage of the browsing support features
- typical sequences of user activities and transition probabilities in a session, esp. in traversing the hierarchical DDC browsing structure
- typical entry points and referring sites

KnowLib


Methodology

- · log analysis
 - · users do not need to be directly involved
 - · catches unsupervised behaviour
 - · every activity within the system tracked
 - cleaned and categorized entries (ca. 460,000) grouped into user sessions (ca. 73,000)
 - · all entries from the same address
 - time gap between two entries less than 1 hour
 - one-entry sessions & sessions shorter than 2 seconds removed
- sample
 - · 16 months (2002/2003)

SEIS. 21 Nov 200

K Golub Knowl ih's Research

7 of 36



KnowLib

Dominance of browsing

- 76% of all activities are browsing
 - majority start using Renardus at a browsing page because directly referred by a search engine
 - layout of Home page "invites" browsing
 - also users starting at Home page predominantly use browsing
- · good usage of browsing support features, esp.:
 - graphical overview
 - · search entry to browsing pages
- 5% of all activities are searching

SFIS, 21 Nov 200

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

9 of 36

KnowLib

Two types of users

- 71% people referred by search engines (mostly Google and Yahoo!)
 - 87% browsing, 2.7% searching
- 22% start at Home page
 - 57% browsing, 12.5% searching
 - more browsing activities per session than the other type
 - use non-browsing activities 3x (Other) and 5x (searching) as often
 - have 2x as many activities per session (ca. 10)
 - they use the service elaborately, in a way system designers intended

SFIS, 21 Nov 20

Golub. KnowLib's Research.

10 of 36

KnowLib

DDC browsing

- 60% of all activities
- 2/3 are in unbroken browsing sequences
 - up to 86 steps
- keywords
 - good chance of finding browsing pages when using more than one search term

SFIS, 21 Nov 200

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

1 of 36

KnowLib

Major results

- · given proper conditions, browsing is heavily used
 - browsing support features are also heavily used
- it is implied that DDC could serve as a good browsing structure, including terminology

SFIS, 21 Nov 2006

C. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

12 of 36

KnowLib **Outline** √ Subject browsing Automated subject classification Focused crawling Demonstrators

Know Lib Automated subject classification

- subject classification
 - grouping documents that have a property (topic, theme) in common, further sub-grouping of documents based on finer properties
 - establishing relationships between them
- automated subject classification
 - machine-based (statistical, NLP techniques)
- application at KnowLib
 - · classification of Web pages for browsing
 - · classification of Web pages for focused crawling

KnowLib

Approaches

- · text categorization
- · document clustering
- string matching

Know_{Lib}

Text categorization

- machine learning
 - algorithms
- information retrieval
 - · vector-space model
 - · evaluation measures
- pre-defined browsing structures
 - learning about categories from pre-existing documents in the categories
 - · for Web pages, search-engine directories
- e.g. http://search.thunderstone.com/texis/websearch/

Know_{Lib}

Document clustering

- information retrieval
- vector-space model
- browsing structures automatically derived
 - · clusters of similar documents and, partially, relationships between them
 - · names of the clusters

"Automatically-derived structures often result in heterogeneous criteria for category membership and can be difficult to understand" (Chen, Dumais 2000 http://www.memourloom/sunanceous/pub.org/)

- such structures hard to understand
- · rather unstable as well
- e.g. http://www.clusty.com

Know_{Lib}

String matching

- - · usually string-to-string matching against a controlled vocabulary
- pre-defined browsing structures
 - controlled vocabularies
 - · usu. classification schemes (good for browsing)
- e.g. http://engine-e.lub.lu.se/

KnowLib Automated classification issues

- · automating subject determination
 - · logical positivism
 - subject is a string occurring a certain number of times, in a certain location etc.
 - if document 1 is about subject A, and if document 2 is similar to document 1, then document 2 is also about subject A
- evaluation
 - issue of deriving the correct interpretation of a document's subject matter
 - · few end-user evaluations

SEIS 21 Nov 2006

10 -6 27

Know Lib Similarities between approaches

- · document pre-processing and indexing
 - removing stop-words
 - · extracting relevant words
- utilization of Web-page characteristics
 - structural elements
 - metadata
 - · text neighbouring headings and anchor text
 - · text from linked pages
- · assumption: idea exchange beneficial

SEIS 21 Nov 200

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

00 - 6 0 6

KnowLib Is there an exchange of ideas?

- · main research question
 - to what degree the three communities utilize others' ideas, methods, and findings
- · direct links
 - · do authors from one community cite authors from another
- · indirect links
 - · bibliographic coupling of papers
- sample
 - 148 papers: 52 ML, 63 IR, 33 LS

SFIS, 21 Nov 200

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

21 of 36

KnowLib

Direct links

- the ML community uses IR methods and both tended to cite each other to a certain extent
- few cases where LS authors were cited by either of the two other communities and the other way around

SFIS, 21 Nov 200

. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

22 of 36

Indirect links SFIS, 21 Nov 2006 K. Golub. Knowl.ib's Research. 23 of 36

Know_{Lib}

Using Web-page elements


- what is the importance of distinguishing between different parts of a Web page?
 - title, headings, main text, metadata
 - what are the appropriate significance indicators?
 - e.g. http://froggy.lbl.gov/virtual/
 - <title>Virtual Frog Dissection Kit Version 2.2</title>
 - <meta name="description" content="Virtual Frog Dissection Kit">
 - <meta name="keywords" content="frog dissection K-12 education">
 <h2 align="center">Virtual Frog Dissection Kit</h2>
 - <n2 align="center">Virti <h2>Frog watch</h2>
 - main text:
 - "This award-winning interactive program is part of the "Whole Frog" project. You can interactively dissect a (digitized) frog named Fluffy, and play the Virtual Frog Builder Game. The interactive Web pages are available in a number of languages...."

SFIS, 21 Nov 2006

Golub. KnowLib's Research.

24 of 36

Collection Collection



Know_{Lib} Major results

- title performs best, followed by headings, metadata, and text
- necessary to use all structural elements and metadata (not all of them occur on every Web page)
- how to combine them not important
 - · the best combination was only 3% better than the worst one

SFIS, 21 Nov 200

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research

27 of 3

Know_{Lib} Near-future research

- · string-matching
 - termlist expansion (using NLP)
 - · adjusting term weighting
 - adjusting cut-offs
- comparison between string-matching and SVM (text categorization)
 - 1) on a test collection, using standard precision and recall
 - · 2) with users

SFIS, 21 Nov 2

K. Golub. KnowLib's Research.

28 of 36

